

Definition: A subgroup H of a group G is a **normal subgroup** iff $aH = Ha$ for all $a \in G$. We denote a normal subgroup by $H \triangleleft G$.

Theorem 9.1: A subgroup $H \leq G$ is normal $\iff (x)^{-1}Hx \subseteq H$ for all $x \in G$.

November 8, 2004

Let $H = \{\epsilon, [1 \ 2 \ 3], [1 \ 3 \ 2]\}$. We've seen that $H \triangleleft S_3$.

We let

$$\begin{aligned} S_H &= \text{the set of all left cosets of } H \text{ in } S_3 \\ &= \{\alpha H \mid \alpha \in S_3\} \\ &= \{H, [1 \ 2] H\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \epsilon H = [1 \ 2 \ 3] H = [1 \ 3 \ 2] H \\ [1 \ 2] H &= [1 \ 3] H = [2 \ 3] H \end{aligned}$$

We defined an operation $*$ on the elements of the set S_H as follows: For any $\alpha H, \beta H \in S_H$,

$$\alpha H * \beta H \stackrel{def}{=} (\alpha \circ \beta) H.$$

Question: Is this operation **well-defined**? That is, if $\alpha_1 H = \alpha_2 H$ and $\beta_1 H = \beta_2 H$, then must $\alpha_1 H * \beta_1 H = \alpha_2 H * \beta_2 H$?

Let $K = \{\epsilon, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}\}$. We've seen that $K \not\triangleleft S_3$.

We let

$$\begin{aligned} S_K &= \text{the set of all left cosets of } K \text{ in } S_3 \\ &= \{\alpha K \mid \alpha \in S_3\} \\ &= \{K, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} K, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} K\}. \end{aligned}$$

Question: If we use the same idea as before to define an operation $*$ on the elements of the set S_K : For any $\alpha K, \beta K \in S_K$, define

$$\alpha K * \beta K \stackrel{def}{=} (\alpha \circ \beta)K,$$

is $*$ well-defined on S_K ?