

Thus if f is **monotonic** (that is, always increasing *or* always decreasing), we can bound the error involved in using either L_n or R_n to approximate \mathcal{I} .

Math 104-Calculus 2

$$E_{L_n} = |\mathcal{I} - L_n| \leq |R_n - L_n|$$

$$E_{R_n} = |\mathcal{I} - R_n| \leq |R_n - L_n|$$
an error bound
(Sklensky) In-Class Work September 12, 2013 1 / 6

Recall example:

If
$$\mathcal{I} = \int_0^{1/2} e^{-x^2} dx$$



Question: We know that $E_{R_4} \leq |L_4 - R_4|$. To find a bound on how well or poorly 0.44644 approximates \mathcal{I} , do we need to find L_4 as well?

Math 104-Calculus 2 (Sklensky)

In-Class Work

September 12, 2013 2 / 6

Recall - If f is always conc up or conc down



Math 104-Calculus 2 (Sklensky)

In-Class Work

September 12, 2013 3 / 6

- 3

(日) (周) (三) (三)

Example:

Let
$$\mathcal{I} = \int_{1}^{5} e^{\cos(x)} dx$$
.

Notice that $e^{\cos(x)}$ is concave up on [1, 5]

It turns out that

 $M_{10} \approx 2.823611872.$

Thus

$$\int_0^{10} e^{\cos(x)} \, dx \approx 2.823611872.$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

But how close is \mathcal{I} to 2.823611872?

Math 104-Calculus 2 (Sklensky)

- 31

To find *M*, look at graph of f''(x) on [1,5]:



Math 104-Calculus 2 (Sklensky)

In-Class Work

September 12, 2013 5 / 6

To find *M*, look at graph of f''(x) on [1, 5]:



Because $0 \le f''(x) \le 1.1$, can use M = 1.1

Math 104-Calculus 2 (Sklensky)

In-Class Work

September 12, 2013 6 / 6

A D > A B > A B